Page: 1 of 7

MEDICAL POLICY



A nonprofit independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association

MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS		
Medical Policy Title	Ablation/Denervation Techniques for Knee Pain	
Policy Number	7.01.100	
Category	Technology Assessment	
Original Effective Date	05/16/19	
Committee Approval	04/16/20, 11/19/20, 04/15/21	
Date		
Revised Effective Date	11/19/20, 04/15/21	
Archive Date	N/A	
Archive Review Date	N/A	
Product Disclaimer	 If a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply. If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan product) or a Medicaid product covers a specific service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. If a Medicare product covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit. 	

POLICY STATEMENT

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, radiofrequency ablation (RFA)/denervation (including cooled and pulsed) of genicular nerves to treat pain has not been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **investigational** for all indications, including, but not limited to, knee pain/osteoarthritis (OA).
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, cryoneurolysis/cryoablation to treat pain associated with knee OA or total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has not been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **investigational**.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #7.01.42 Radiofrequency Facet and Sacroiliac Joint Ablation/Denervation

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy #11.01.03 Experimental and Investigational Services

DESCRIPTION

Nerve RFA is a minimally invasive treatment method that involves the use of heat and coagulation necrosis to destroy tissue. A needle electrode is inserted through the skin and into the tissue to be ablated. A high-frequency electrical current is applied to the target tissue, causing a small sphere of tissue to coagulate around the needle by the heat generated. It is theorized that the thermal lesioning of the nerve destroys peripheral sensory nerve endings, resulting in the alleviation of pain.

Cooled radiofrequency (RF) treatment is a variation of nerve RFA, using a special device that applies more energy at the desired location without excessive heat diffusing beyond the area, causing less tissue damage away from the nerve. The goal of ablating the nerve is the same. COOLIEF (Haylard Health, Inc.) cooled RF treatment is a minimally invasive outpatient procedure that uses cooled radiofrequency energy to target the sensory nerves causing pain. COOLIEF circulates water through the device while heating nervous tissue, to create a treatment area that is larger than conventional RF treatments. This combination targets the pain-causing nerves without excessive heating and is proposed to relieve hip and knee pain associated with OA.

Nerve RFA is different from pulsed RF treatment, which has been investigated for different types of pain. The mechanism of action of pulsed RF treatment is uncertain, but it is thought not to destroy the nerve, or, if it does produce some degree of nerve destruction, to cause less damage than standard RFA. Some studies refer to pulsed RF treatment as ablation.

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 2 of 7

Cryoneurolysis is being investigated to alleviate pain in knee OA and to manage pain following TKA. Temperatures of -20° to -100°C applied to a nerve cause Wallerian (anterograde axonal) degeneration, with disruption of nerve structure and conduction. However, maintenance of the perineural and epineural elements of the nerve bundle purportedly allows near complete regeneration and recovery of nerve function in about three to five months. The iovera cryoablation system is a portable handheld device that applies percutaneous and targeted delivery of cold to superficial peripheral nerves.

RATIONALE

A number of RF generators and probes have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the Section 510(k) process. In 2005, the SInergy (Kimberly-Clark/Baylis), a water-cooled single-use probe, was cleared by the FDA, listing the Baylis Pain Management Probe as a predicate device. It is intended for use with an RF generator, to create RF lesions in nervous tissue.

In 2011, the NeuroTherm NT 2000 (NeuroTherm) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the Section 510(k) process. The FDA determined that this device was substantially equivalent to existing devices for use in lesioning neural tissue. Existing predicate devices included the NeuroTherm NT 1000, Stryker Multi-Gen, and Cosman G4 RF Generator.

In 2013, the Cryo-Touch IV (iovera; Myoscience) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the Section 510(k) process. Predicate devices were the Cryo-Touch II and Cryo-Touch III.

In December 2016, the COOLIEF Cooled Radiofrequency Kit (Halyard Health Inc., Alpharetta, GA) was cleared by the FDA through the Section 510(k) process for the creation of RF heat lesions in nervous tissue for the relief of pain. The kit includes a fluid delivery system for commonly used fluid agents, limited to contrast medium, saline, and/or anesthetic solution delivery at the target site.

Gupta et al. (2017) completed a systematic review aimed at analyzing published studies on RFA (conventional, pulsed, or cooled radiofrequency) for patients suffering from OA of the knee, as well as patients post-TKA who have developed refractory disabling chronic knee pain. The systematic review was intended to provide an overview of the current knowledge regarding variations in procedures, nerve targets, adverse events and temporal extent of clinical benefit. Seventeen publications were identified in the search, including articles investigating conventional, pulsed, or cooled RFA. These studies primarily targeted either the genicular nerves or used an intra-articular approach. Of the studies, five were small-sized, randomized, controlled trials, although one involved diathermy radiofrequency ablation. There were eight retrospective or prospective case series and four case reports. Utilizing the strength of evidence grading, the study identified a low level of certainty to suggest a superior benefit between targeting the genicular nerve, an intra-articular approach, or targeting the larger nerves such as femoral and tibial nerves. It also identified a low level of certainty supporting the superiority of any specific RFA procedure modality. The majority of the studies reported positive patient outcomes, but the inconsistent procedural methodology, inconsistent patient assessment measures, and small study sizes limit the applicability of any specific study to clinical practice. The authors concluded that, overall, the studies showed promising results for the treatment of severe chronic knee pain by RFA at up to one year, with minimal complications. Numerous studies, however, yielded concerns about procedural protocols, study quality, and patient follow-up. RFA can offer substantial clinical and functional benefit to patients with chronic knee pain due to OA or post-TKA.

In 2018, Davis et al. completed a prospective, multicenter, randomized, crossover clinical trial comparing the safety and effectiveness of cooled RF with corticosteroid injection in the management of knee pain from OA in 151 subjects with chronic knee pain lasting six months or more that was unresponsive to conservative modalities. Knee pain (Numeric Rating Scale [NRS]), Oxford Knee Score, overall treatment effect (Global Perceived Effect), analgesic drug use, and adverse events were compared between cooled RFA and intra-articular steroid (IAS) cohorts at one, three, and six months after intervention. At six months, the cooled RF group had more favorable outcomes in NRS with pain reduction of 50% or greater in 74.1% versus 16.2% of IAS cases. Non-responders consisted of 25.9% in the cooled RFA group and 83.8% in the steroid group. At six months, mean Oxford Knee Score was 35.7 in the cooled RFA group versus 22.4 in the steroid group, and mean improved Global Perceived Effect was 91.4% versus 23.9%, respectively. There was no change in the average daily dose of opioids at six months between the groups. No procedure-related serious adverse events were identified. While the authors concluded that the findings of this study indicated that cooled RFA for genicular nerve

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 3 of 7

ablation is superior to a single corticosteroid injection in osteoarthritic subjects for managing knee pain, the limitations of this study included the following: the comparison group (IAS subjects) underwent a singular injection rather than multiple injections, and the six-month time point at which the primary outcome was assessed is not consistent with the expected duration of effectiveness of a steroid injection; this was an open label trial, and so, not all study site observers were blinded to procedures; medication diaries were not used to record medication usage in this study, which introduced potential for error and/or inability to identify acute changes in medication dosage during the study; and the effect of each treatment on opioid use for OA-related knee pain could not be specifically measured because patients in both study groups used opioids for medical indications other than OA-related knee pain.

In 2019, Davis et al. published a follow-up study investigating the longer-term durability of analgesic effects of cooled RFA for knee pain from OA. A total of 58 patients (82%) from the original IAS cohort who were dissatisfied with their IAS treatment after six months were allowed to crossover to receive cooled RFA treatment. In addition, 58 patients who received cooled RFA were followed for an additional six months, for a total of 12 months' follow-up. At 12 months, 52 patients (78%) in the originally treated cooled RFA group contributed data to the primary endpoint. Diminished pain relative to baseline greater than or equal to 50% was reported as 65% (34/52) after 12 months, and the OKS mean score was 34.3, an increase in baseline by 17.3 points after 12 months. In the crossover group, 49% (18/37) of patients experienced a 50% or more decrease in pain from baseline at six months. No serious adverse events identified were related to cooled RFA. Opioid use stayed consistent with baseline during the trial. The authors are unclear why a difference in analgesic response was seen in the originally treated group (65% at 12 months) and the crossover group. The authors concluded that statistically significant and clinically relevant pain relief and functional improvements were sustained 12 months following cooled RFA treatment of OA-related knee pain and dysfunction. This study was partially funded by Halyard Health.

In a single, blind, randomized, controlled trial, El-Hakeim et al. (2018) studied the efficacy of fluoroscopic-guided radiofrequency neurotomy of the genicular nerves for alleviation of chronic pain and improvement of function in patients with knee OA. A total of 60 patients with chronic knee OA received either radiofrequency neurotomy of the genicular nerves (n=30), considered Group A, or conventional analgesics only (n=30), identified as Group C. For Group C, the following treatments were prescribed: oral paracetamol (max of 1 gram in six hours), Diclofenac sodium 75mg BID, and physiotherapy, if needed. The outcomes measures included visual analog scale (VAS), Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Index (WOMAC), and Likert scale for patient satisfaction in the second week, third week, and sixth month. The authors found significant differences in the VAS in the second week, third week, and sixth month between the two groups, and a significant difference in total WOMAC index in the sixth month only. A high percentage ratio of the patients (63.3%) in the conventional Group C received physiotherapy during the follow-up period. No diagnostic block was done prior to radiofrequency, which is a limitation in the study. The authors concluded that RF can ameliorate pain and disability in chronic knee OA in a safe and effective manner.

Qudsi-Sinclair and colleagues (2017) published the results of a double-blinded, randomized, controlled trial comparing traditional RF neurolysis (n=14) to local anesthetic and corticosteroid block (n=14) of the genicular nerves for treatment of persistent pain following TKA. Subjects were followed for one year after treatment and evaluated for pain evolution, knee functionality, quality of life, and degree of patient satisfaction. At three and six months, both groups demonstrated a reduction in pain and significant joint function improvement, with similar results in both groups, including improvement in quality of life and disability and a reduced need for analgesics. The authors could not recommend one treatment option over another and suggested that further clinical trials are needed to establish safety and efficacy. The study is limited by small sample population and short-term outcomes.

While there are a few studies in the published peer-reviewed literature which lend support to improvement in pain after ablative treatment, these studies are limited by variability in RF technique, small sample populations, and differences in patient selection criteria. At present, there is insufficient evidence in the peer-reviewed scientific literature evaluating RF ablative treatment for chronic knee pain. Strong, evidence-based conclusions regarding the effects of this technology on health outcomes cannot be made. Additional well-designed studies involving larger populations and long-term outcomes are needed, to support safety and efficacy and to determine how this treatment compares to other medical and surgical treatments for knee pain.

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 4 of 7

A randomized, controlled trial with 180 patients (Radnovich et al., 2017) compared cryoneurolysis with sham treatment in patients who had knee OA. Cryoneurolysis resulted in a greater decrease in WOMAC pain, WOMAC total, and VAS score at 30 days, compared with sham-treated controls. Subsequent measurements showed no significant benefit of cryoneurolysis on WOMAC score at 60 days or in VAS scores at 60 or 90 days. As the trial progressed, patients were able to more accurately guess their treatment group assignment, which may have biased results in favor of active treatment. Several technical issues, including the optimal number of applications for each nerve, the duration of treatment, and the duration of thawing before moving the cannula, have yet to be resolved. Perioperative cryoneurolysis has shown mixed results in reducing opioid prescriptions/use in patients undergoing TKA (Dasa et al., 2016 and Mihalko et al., 2020). Larger, well-designed trials are needed to determine the effects on health outcomes.

CODES

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.
- *Code Key: Experimental/Investigational = (E/I), Not medically necessary/ appropriate = (NMN).*

Code	Description
64624 (E/I)	Destruction by neurolytic agent, genicular nerve branches including imaging
	guidance, when performed
64640 (E/I)	Destruction by neurolytic agent; other peripheral nerve or branch (when applied to
	genicular nerve(s))
0441T (E/I)	Ablation, percutaneous, cryoablation, includes imaging guidance; lower extremity
	distal/peripheral nerve (when applied to genicular nerve(s))

CPT Codes

Copyright © 2021 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
No specific code(s)	

ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
M17.0-M17.9	Knee osteoarthritis (code range)
(E/I)	
M25.561-	Pain in knee (code range)
M25.569 (E/I)	

REFERENCES

Ajrawat P, et al. Radiofrequency procedures for the treatment of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. Pain Med 2020 Feb 1;21(2):333-348.

Bannuru RR, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. <u>Osteoarthritis Cartilage</u> 2019 Nov;27(11):1578-1589.

Bellini M and Barbieri M. Cooled radiofrequency system relieves chronic knee osteoarthritis pain: the first case-series. <u>Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther</u> 2015;47(1):30-3.

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 5 of 7

*Bhatia A, et al. Radiofrequency procedures to relieve chronic knee pain: an evidence-based narrative review. <u>Reg Anesth</u> <u>Pain Med</u> 2016 Jul-Aug;41(4):501-10.

BlueCross BlueShield Association. Ablation of peripheral nerves to treat pain. Medical Policy Reference Manual Policy #7.01.154. 2020 Sep 10.

Chen AF, et al. Cooled radiofrequency ablation compared with a single injection of hyaluronic acid for chronic knee pain: A multicenter, randomized clinical trial demonstrating greater efficacy and equivalent safety for cooled radiofrequency ablation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2020 Sep 2;102(17):1501-1510.

*Chen AF, et al. Cooled radiofrequency ablation provides extended clinical utility in the management of knee osteoarthritis: 12-month results from a prospective, multi-center, randomized, cross-over trial comparing cooled radiofrequency ablation to a single hyaluronic acid injection. <u>BMC Musculoskelet Disord</u> 2020 Jun 9;21(1):363.

Chen AF, et al. Thermal nerve radiofrequency ablation for the nonsurgical treatment of knee osteoarthritis: A systematic literature review. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2020 Jul 22. Online ahead of print.

*Choi WJ, et al. Radiofrequency treatment relieves chronic knee osteoarthritis pain: a double-blind randomized controlled trial. <u>Pain</u> 2011 Mar;152(3):481-7.

*Dasa V, et al. Percutaneous freezing of sensory nerves prior to total knee arthroplasty. Knee Jun 2016;23(3):523-528.

*Davis T, et al. Prospective, multicenter, randomized, crossover clinical trial comparing the safety and effectiveness of cooled radiofrequency ablation with corticosteroid injection in the management of knee pain from osteoarthritis. <u>Reg</u> <u>Anesth Pain Med</u> 2018 Jan;43(1):84-91.

Davis T, et al. Twelve-month analgesia and rescue, by cooled radiofrequency ablation treatment of osteoarthritic knee pain: results from a prospective, multicenter, randomized, cross-over trial. <u>Reg Anesth Pain Med</u> 2019 Feb 16. [Epub ahead of print]

*El-Hakeim EH, et al. Fluoroscopic guided radiofrequency of genicular nerves for pain alleviation in chronic knee osteoarthritis: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. <u>Pain Physician</u> 2018 Mar;21(2):169-177.

*Filippiadis D, et al. Intra-articular application of pulsed radiofrequency combined with viscosupplementation for improvement of knee osteoarthritis symptoms: a single centre prospective study. <u>Int J Hyperthermia</u> 2018 Dec;34(8):1265-1269.

Gabriel RA and Ilfeld BM. Novel methodologies in regional anesthesia for knee arthroplasty. <u>Anestheriol Clin</u> 2018 Sep;36(3):387-401.

*Gupta A, et al. Comparative effectiveness review of cooled versus pulsed radiofrequency ablation for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. <u>Pain Physician</u> 2017 Mar;20(3):155-171.

Hong T, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of 12 randomized controlled trials evaluating the efficacy of invasive radiofrequency treatment for knee pain and function. <u>Biomed Res Int</u> 2019 Jun 26;2019:9037510.

House LM, et al. Severity of knee osteoarthritis and pain relief after cooled radiofrequency ablation of the genicular nerves. <u>Pain Med</u> 2019 Dec 1;20(12):2601-2603.

*Hunter C, et al. Cooled radiofrequency ablation treatment of the genicular nerves in the treatment of osteoarthritic knee pain: 18- and 24-month results. <u>Pain Pract</u> 2020 Mar;20(3):238-246.

Iannaccone F, et al. A review of long-term pain relief after genicular nerve radiofrequency ablation in chronic knee osteoarthritis. <u>Pain Physician</u> 2017 Mar;20(3):E437-E444.

*Ikeuchi M, et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency treatment for refractory anteromedial pain of osteoarthritic knees. <u>Pain</u> <u>Med</u> 2011 Apr;12(4):546-51.

Jadon A, et al. Comparative evaluation of monopolar and bipolar radiofrequency ablation of genicular nerves in chronic knee pain due to osteoarthritis. Indian J Anaesth 2018 Nov;62(11):876-880.

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 6 of 7

Jamison DE and Cohen SP. Radiofrequency techniques to treat chronic knee pain: a comprehensive review of anatomy, effectiveness, treatment parameters, and patient selection. <u>J Pain Res</u> 2018 Sep 18;11:1879-1888.

Kapural L, et al. Long-term retrospective assessment of clinical efficacy of radiofrequency ablation of the knee using a cooled radiofrequency system. <u>Pain Physician</u> 2019 Sep;22(5):489-494.

Kesikburun S, et al. Ultrasound-guided genicular nerve pulsed radiofrequency treatment for painful knee osteoarthritis: a preliminary report. <u>Pain Physician</u> 2016 Jul;19(5):E751-9.

Khan D, et al. Clinically significant hematoma as a complication of cooled radiofrequency ablation of the genicular nerves; a case series. <u>Pain Med</u> 2019 Dec 27. [Epub ahead of print]

Kirdemir P, et al. The genicular nerve: radiofrequency lesion application for chronic knee pain. <u>Turk J Med Sci</u> 2017 Feb 27;47(1):268-272.

Kolasinski SL, et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation guideline for the management of osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. <u>Arthritis Rheumatol</u> 2020 Feb;72(2):220-233.

Konya ZY, et al. Results of genicular nerve ablation by radiofrequency in osteoarthritis-related chronic refractory knee pain. <u>Turk J Med Sci</u> 2020 Feb 13;50(1):86-95.

McCormick ZL, et al. A prospective randomized trial of prognostic genicular nerve blocks to determine the predictive value for the outcome of cooled radiofrequency ablation for chronic knee pain due to osteoarthritis. <u>Pain Med</u> 2018 Aug 1;19(8):1628-1638.

McCormick ZL, et al. Cooled radiofrequency ablation of the genicular nerves for chronic pain due to knee osteoarthritis: six-month outcomes. <u>Pain Med</u> 2017 Sep 1;18(9):1631-1641.

McCormick ZL, et al. The safety of genicular nerve radiofrequency ablation. <u>Pain Med</u> 2020 Oct 28;pnaa355. Online ahead of print.

Menzies RD and Hawkins JK. Analgesia and improved performance in a patient treated by cooled radiofrequency for pain and dysfunction postbilateral total knee replacement. <u>Pain Pract</u> 2015 Jul;15(6):E54-8.

*Mihalko WM, et al. Cryoneurolysis before total knee arthroplasty in patients with severe osteoarthritis for reduction of postoperative pain and opioid use in a single-center randomized controlled trial. <u>J Arthroplasty</u> 2020 Nov 14;S0883-5403(20)31204-3.

*Qudsi-Sinclair S, et al. A comparison of genicular nerve treatment using either radiofrequency or analgesic block with corticosteroid for pain after a total knee arthroplasty: a double-blind, randomized clinical study. <u>Pain Pract</u> 2017 Jun;17(5):578-588.

*Radnovich R, et al. Cryoneurolysis to treat the pain and symptoms of knee osteoarthritis: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, sham-controlled trial. <u>Osteoarthritis Cartilage</u> Aug 2017;25(8):1247-1256.

Reddy RD, et al. Cooled radiofrequency ablation of genicular nerves for knee osteoarthritis pain: a protocol for patient selection and case series. <u>Anesth Pain Med</u> 2016 Aug 24;6(6):e39696.

Roberts SL, et al. Review of knee joint innervation: implications for diagnostic blocks and radiofrequency ablation. <u>Pain</u> <u>Med</u> 2020 May 1;21(5):922-938.

Rojhani S, et al. Water-cooled radiofrequency provides pain relief, decreases disability, and improves quality of life in chronic knee osteoarthritis. <u>Am J Phys Med Rehabil</u> 2017 Jan;96(1):e5-e8.

Santana Pineda MM, et al. Analgesic effect and functional improvement caused by radiofrequency treatment of genicular nerves in patients with advanced osteoarthritis of the knee until 1 year following treatment. <u>Reg Anesth Pain Med</u> 2017 Jan/Feb;42(1):62-68.

Sari S, et al. Which one is more effective for the clinical treatment of chronic pain in knee osteoarthritis: radiofrequency neurotomy of the genicular nerves or intra-articular injection? Int J Rheum Dis 2018 Oct;21(10):1772-1778.

Medical Policy: ABLATION/ DENERVATION TECHNIQUES FOR KNEE PAIN Policy Number: 7.01.100 Page: 7 of 7

Shen WS, et al. Radiofrequency thermocoagulation in relieving refractory pain of knee osteoarthritis. <u>Am J Ther</u> 2017 Nov/Dec;24(6):e693-e700.

Xiao L, et al. Highly selective peripheral nerve radio frequency ablation for the treatment of severe knee osteoarthritis. Exp Ther Med 2018 Nov;16(5):3973-3977.

Yoon JHE, et al. Cryoneurolysis in patients with refractory chronic peripheral neuropathic pain. <u>J Vasc Interv Radiol</u> 2016 Feb;27(2):239-43.

Wong J, et al. Ultrasound-guided genicular nerve thermal radiofrequency ablation for chronic knee pain. <u>Case Rep</u> <u>Anesthesiol</u> 2016;2016:8292450. [Epub 2016 Oct 16].

*Key Article

KEY WORDS

Cooled radiofrequency ablation, COOLIEF, radiofrequency ablation of peripheral nerve, genicular radiofrequency ablation, iovera, cryoablation

CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS

There is currently a National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Induced Lesions of Nerve Tracts (160.1). Please refer to the following NCD website for Medicare members: <u>https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=19&ncd</u>

There is currently a Local Coverage Determination (LCD) Peripheral Nerve Blocks (L36850) for destruction of genicular nerve branches. Please refer to the following LCD website for Medicare Members: https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/article-

details.aspx?articleId=57452&ver=19&LCDId=36850&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection =NCA%7cCAL%7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=BC%7cSAD%7cRTC%7cReg&PolicyType=Bo th&s=41&KeyWord=denervation&KeyWordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=MAAAAAgAI AAA&